

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,820	09/12/2003	Huy D. Phan	2024729-7032372001 7044		
7:	590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER		
Bingham McCutchen, LLP			VRETTAKOS, PETER J		
Suite 1800 Three Embarca	dero		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco,	CA 94111-4067		3739		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2009	DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			nuch			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Surrey	10/660,820	PHAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter J. Vrettakos	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	: mmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	eptember 2003.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the confidence of the property of the pro	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	PTO-413) te.				
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/03.	5) Notice of Informal Pa		-152)			

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10, 12-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greene et al. (4,913,164).

Greene discloses a method and medical probe/ catheter (col. 2:2 and col. 4:9) comprising

an elongate member (80 or 36),

an ablative element/electrode (consists of 40 + 46 + 42 in figure 6; col. 8:26-37),

a non-conductive (col. 6:20-24) protective element (14 in figure 1, 24 in figure 4)

comprising a cage assembly (figure 4, 30 + 32 + 24 + 26) with struts (30), a coaxial ring

element (attached to numeral 24 in figure 4), and a fixed attachment (32, figure 4), and

a sleeve (38, figure 6), and

a handle (inherent) with steering mechanisms (stylets 80 and 36).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,820

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 9, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene et al. in view of King et al. (6,161,047).

Greene neglects to expressly disclose a collapsed protective element that rests inside a sleeved lumen.

King discloses numerous devices analogous to Greene (electrode device with distal outer protective collapsible mechanisms), which depict collapsed protective element that rest inside sleeved lumens (see figure 10a, 500 is protective mechanism, 20, is elongate member, 510 is ablation element, 14 is sleeved lumen). Further, King discloses a cage assembly (figure 8b, 822 + 824 + 820) with a proximal ring element (832) and a fixed distal element (830). Lastly, King discloses in figure 8b numerous ablation elements (808, 809, 810) covered (in a collapsed configuration) by the cage assembly (820 + 822), as well as an additional protective element (500) another embodiment in figures 10a and 10b, which also has an additional ablation element (510).

Therefore, at the time of the embodiment it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Greene in view of King by including different design configurations into the Greene device. The motivation would be to increase the applicability of the Greene device. (King actually discusses structural limitations of prior

Application/Control Number: 10/660,820

Art Unit: 3739

art devices similar to Greene's, in the context that more flexibility in design is warranted in the art, thereby warranted the numerous embodiments in King.)

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greene et al. in view of Greene in view of Mest et al. (6,405,067).

Greene neglects to disclose **braided** polyurethane as a constituent of the protective member. (Greene does disclose polyurethane as a constituent of the protective member.)

Mest in a device analogous to Greene disclose tubular braided polyurethane (col. 5:23-28).

Therefore, at the time of the embodiment it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Greene in view of Mest by including disclose **braided** polyurethane as a constituent of the protective member. The motivation would be to increase the strength of the material as inferred in Mest col. 5:26.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pete Vrettakos August 18, 2005

ROY D. GIRSON